## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CEYLON.

#### BULLETIN No. 34.

# HEVEA TAPPING RESULTS: EXPERIMENT STATION, PERADENIYA, 1916.

T. PETCH, B.A., B.Sc.

Botanist and Mycologist.

Peradeniya, September, 1917.

COLOMBO:

H. C. COTTLE, GOVERNMENT PRINTER, CEYLON.

-4
STAFF OF DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CEYLON.
F. A. STOCKDALE, M.A. (Cantab.), F.L.S., Director of Agriculture.
T. Petch, B.A., B.Sc Botanist and Mycologist.
T. Petce, B.A., B.Sc. G. Bryce, B.Sc.*  Botanist and Mycologist Asst. Botanist and Mycologist.
M. K. BAMBER, M.R.A.C., F.I.C.,
TI C C
L. E. CAMPBELL, B.So., F.I.C.* Rubber Research Chemist.
E. R. SPEYER, M.A., F.E.S Acting Entomologist.
N. K. JARDINE Entomologist for Tea Tortrix.
G. M. HENRY Assistant Entomologist.
C. DRIEBERG, B.A., F.H.A.S Supt. of Low-country Products
& School Gardens (on leave).
H. A. DEUTROM Acting Manager, Peradeniya
Experiment Station.
G. HABBORD* Manager, Dry Zone Experi-
ment Station.
H. F. MACMILLAN, F.L.S., Superintendent of Botanie
F.R.H.S. Gardens.
T. H. Parsons* Curator, Royal Botanic
Gardens, Peradeniya.
J. J. Nock Curator, Botanic Gardens, Hak-
R. H. PEREIRA Chief Clerk. [gala.
ALEXANDER PERERA
D. D. FERNANDO Inspectors of School Gardens.
C. WICKBEMARATNE
N. WICKBEMARATNE Secretary, Board of Control,
Co-operative Credit Societies.
A. DE ALWIS Draughtsman.
B. D. PERERA Librarian.
D. F. DE SILVA GUNARATNE Conductor, Henaratgoda.
T. Young Conductor, Nuwara Eliya.
GEO. SCHRADER Conductor, Chilaw Coconut
J. M. SILVA Plant Collector. [Trial Ground.
<ul> <li>On Military service.</li> </ul>

#### COMMITTEE OF AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENTS. Director of Agriculture, Chairman.

Botanist and Mycologist, Mr. A. W. Beven. Government Chemist. Mr. N. G. Campbell. Rubber Research Chemist. Mr. J. B. Coles. Entomologist. Mr. R. G. Coombe. Superintendent, Botanic Gardens Mr. A. J. Austin Dickson. Superintendent, Low-country Lieut. Col. W. G. B. Dickson. Products and School Gardens. Mr. H. D. Garrick. Govt. Agent, Central Province. Mr. G. H. Golledge, Assistant Government Agent, Mr. E. W. Keith. Puttalam. Mr. A. S. Long-Price. Rural Member of the Legislative Mr. N. J. Martin. Mr. G. H. Masefield, Council. Chairman of the Planters' Asso- Mr. C. E. G. Pandittesekere. ciation. Mr. J. S. Patterson. Chairman, Low-country Pro- Mudlr. A. E. de S. Rajapaksa.

Mr. M. L. Wilkins, Mr. T. Y. Wright, Mr. William Sinclair. Manager, Peradeniya Experiment Station, Secretary.

ducts Association of Ceylon.

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CEYLON.

BULLETIN No. 34.

## HEVEA TAPPING RESULTS: EXPERIMENT STATION, PERADENIYA, 1916.



NTERIM reports on the results of the tapping experiments on the Experiment Station, Peradeniya, have been published in Bulletins 12, 17, and 25. The present Bulletin deals with some of the results of 1916, Series I. of the former

Bulletins being omitted, as that experiment is approaching completion, and the final results of it will be published separately. Full details of the previous history of the trees, monthly yields, &c., will be found in the previous Bulletins. All the trees were planted (as stumps) in 1905.

#### SERIES II.

#### Tapping on One-third Circumference.

A set of experiments, comprising different methods of tapping on one-third the circumference, was instituted by the late Dr. Lock on July 1, 1912. Five rows of twenty-five trees each were selected, and to these was added row 82 B, which had been in tapping continuously on one-third the circumference, alternate days, since October, 1910. All tapping was to be half herring-bone to the left, but, as explained in the previous Bulletin, a mistake was made in tapping the third section of 82 B (December, 1912–December, 1913), on which the cuts were placed to the right instead of to the left. From January 1, 1914, a new row, 80 C, has been substituted for 82 B. Because of this substitution, all the rows are still being tapped on original bark.

#### Time Intervals.

Rows 80 C, 78 A, and 78 B are tapped by four cuts 1 foot apart, to the left, on one-third the circumference, 80 C three 6(12)17

times per week, 78 A twice per week, and 78 B once per week. This part of the experiment consequently corresponds with the well-known Henaratgoda experiment, but deals with younger trees. The following table gives the results up to date:—

Plot No.		82 B		78 A		78 B
No. of trees		39		25		25
	• •					25
Average girth, June, 1912	• •	$25 \cdot 3$	• •	25	• •	20
1912 (6 Months).						
No. of tappings		82		52	• •	27
Yield per tree (grams)		668	• •	635	• •	305
1913.						
No, of tappings		139		86		46
Yield per tree		1,053		1,209	••	723
1914.						
Plot No		80 C		78 A		78 B
No. of trees		23		25		25
Average girth		$29 \cdot 3$		29		$30 \cdot 2$
No. of tappings		182		105		52
Yield per tree		1,936	••	1,674	• •	944
. 1915.						
No. of tappings		157		104		52
Yield per tree		2,058	• •	1,830	••	915
1916.						
No. of tappings		154		105		52
Yield per tree		0.100		2,135	• •	880

80 C began its third section on December 1, 1916; 78 A began its third section on April 3, 1916; 78 B was being tapped on its second section, which was begun on November 2, 1915.

The total yields per tree from the beginning of the experiment to the end of 1916 were respectively 7,914 grams, 7,483 grams, and 3,767 grams, and the yields per tree per tapping 11·1 grams, 16·6 grams, and 16·4 grams.

If the yields from 1914 only are considered, we find that the total yields per tree are respectively 6,193 grams, 5,639 grams, and 2,739 grams, and the yields per tree per tapping 12.5 grams, 18.0 grams, and 17.6 grams. The yields in lb. per tree during 1916 are 4.8, 4.7, and 1.9.

Comparing the yields per tree for 1916 with those of 1915, it is seen that in the three-times-per-week tapping the increase for 1916 is 6.9 per cent., in the twice-per-week tapping 11.2 per cent., while the once-per-week tapping shows a decrease of 3.8 per cent.

As row 78 B, tapped once per week, has shown a slight decrease since the beginning of 1915, both absolutely and relatively to the other two rows, it is probable that some effect other than the tapping system is in operation.

The experiment continues to demonstrate that the greatest quantity of rubber is obtained by the more frequent tapping, though the difference between two-day and three-day tapping is small on the trees under experiment. On the other hand, the yield per tapping in three-day tapping is much greater than the yield per tapping in two-day tapping. But nothing is gained by extending the tapping interval from three days to one week. It is clear that three-day tapping yields more rubber per unit of bark and per unit of labour than two-day (alternate day) tapping in the case of the trees under experiment.

In previous years the percentage of scrap has increased as the time interval is increased. Three-day tapping has given more scrap than two-day tapping, and weekly tapping more than three-day tapping. Thus, for 1915 the percentages were 10·3, 11·3, and 17·9. For 1916, however, the percentages of scrap were 11·5, 8·8, 15·4. This increase, both absolute and relative, in the case of the alternate day tapping, may be due to the fact that the latex was collected separately from each out during 1916 in that row.

The following table gives the total rubber per month in grams during 1916; a thick transverse line denotes the completion of tapping on a section:—

	80 C	: 22 Trees.*	78 A: 25 Trees.	78 B: 25 Trees.
1916.	No. o Tappin		No. of Yield.	No. of Tappings, Yield.
January	12	5691	9 6537	5 2554
February	12	3649	$8 \dots 2717$	4 1303
March		3066	$9 \dots 2402$	4 966
April	12	2 3732	$\overline{8} \dots \overline{2437}$	4 1597
May	14		9 4531	5 1845
June	13	3 3390	95246	4 1497
July	18		9 4810	4 1973
August	13		9 3695	5 2010
September	13	3 4026	9 4213	4 1664
October	13	3 3738	9 4408	5 1973
November	18		9 6341	4 2051
December	15		8 6028	4 2575

<sup>\* 23</sup> in 1914-1915.

The diminution of the yield during March, the wintering season, is very marked. Compared with January, the yield per tapping was reduced by 54, 63, and 53 per cent. respectively. The corresponding percentages for 1915 were 39, 56, and 59. The rainfall for January, February, March, 1916, was 0.49, 0, and 10.64 inches, respectively; and for the corresponding months of 1915 9.40, 4.17, and 1.85 inches.

#### Methods of tapping on One-third Circumference.

Concurrently with the foregoing, rows 81 A, 81 B, and 81 C have been tapped on one-third circumference, 81 A with two cuts I foot apart three times per week; 81 B with two cuts 2 feet apart, the lowest at 2 feet from the ground, three times per week; and 81 C with one cut at 3 feet six times per week. Combined with 80 C, the first two of these provide data for estimating the effect of the different cuts, as well as different methods of tapping the basal 4 feet of the stem. In 80 C the basal 4 feet is tapped by four cuts at the same time; in 81 A it is tapped by two cuts at the base only, with the intention of tapping by two cuts above these later; 81 B will cover the same area as 81 A by the time the tapping on the original bark is completed (i.e., up to a height of 4 feet), but the cuts are placed at a height of 4 feet and 2 feet from the ground, and the intervening cortex is tapped continuously. In 81 C the single cut is at a height midway between the cuts of 81 B, and is tapped daily (Sundays excepted).

The following table gives the yields per tree in grams since 1912, with other necessary details:—

Plot No		82 B	 81 A	 81 B	 81 C
No. of trees		39	 25	 25	 25
Average girth, J	une, 1912	$25 \cdot 3$	 27	 25.6	 25
1912 (6 Mon	ths).				
Tappings.		82	 74	 77	 153
Yield per tree		668	 663	 601	 621
1913.					
Tappings		139	 126	 131	 254
Yield per tree		1053	 992	 1306	 1190
1914.					
Plot No		80 C	 81 A	 81 B	 81 C
Average girth		29.3	 $32 \cdot 5$	 $30 \cdot 7$	 30 . 2
Tappings.		182	 155	 155	 311
Yield per tree		1936	 1718	1710	 1386

1915.								
Tappings		157		155		154		308
Yield per tree 1916.	••	2058	• •	1815	••	1587	••	1535
Tappings		154		156		155		311
Yield per tree		2199		1863		1651		1810

The stages of tapping reached in the different rows are as follows:—80 C began its third section on December 1, 1916; 31 A began its third section on June 1, 1915; 81 B began its second section on January 1, 1915; 81 C began its second section in June, 1914.

The total yields in grams from the beginning of the experinent are 7,914, 7,951, 6,855, and 6,542.

In comparing the yields for any one year, it has to be remembered that the cuts are, at any given time, at different elevations, and this may account for some of the differences in yield. For 1916 the yields in pounds were 4.8, 4.1, 3.6, and 4.0.

In considering the yield per tapping, it is best to take that from the beginning of the experiment, as by that method the difference due to the different elevations of the cuts will be to some degree averaged out. The yields per tree per tapping in grams are 12.5, 10.6, 10.2, and 4.9.

In the first row (80 C), which is tapped with four cuts 1 loot apart, the two extra cuts have resulted in an increase of about 20 per cent. over the second row (81 A), which is tapped with two cuts 1 foot apart, or over the third row (81 B), which is tapped with two cuts 2 feet apart. One would have expected a greater difference in comparison with the third row, as the pattern of the first row may be regarded as the addition of an extra cut below each of the outs of the third.

The difference between the second and third rows is much smaller than would have been anticipated. The comparison would appear to be in favour of the third row, as the second row has now (May, 1917) been tapped out on the basal 2 feet, and, according to the plan of the experiment, tapping must now be carried out higher up, from 4 feet to 2 feet. A diminution in yield is to be expected when tapping is transferred up.

The single cut tapped daily (Sunday excepted) has yielded per tapping a little less than half as much as the two cuts lapped three times per week. The following table gives the total monthly yields in grams for 1916. A thick transverse line denotes the completion of tapping on a section:—

80 C: 2	2 Trees.*	81 A: 25 Tree:	. 81 B: 25	Trees.	81 C: 25 Trees.
1916. No. of Tappings.	Yield. Ta	No. of appings. Yield	No. of Tappings.	Yield.	No. of Tappings. Yield.
January 12					
February 12	3649	13 3542	12	2873	25 2877
March 14	3066	13 2369	14	2628	27 2687
April 12	3732	13 3985	12	3210	25 3281
May 14	4606	13 3892	14	3829	27 4107
June 13	3390	13 2959	13	3827	26 3136
July 13	2623	12 3316	13	2676	25 3164
August 13	3630	14 4001	13	2881	27 3867
September 13	4026	13 3642	13	3195	26 3763
October 13	3738	13 4366	13	3724	26 3841
November 13	4258	13 4351	13	3851	26 4100
December 12	5971	13 4940	12	3814	25 4091
		* 23 in 1914-	1915.		

#### V TAPPING versus SIMPLE OBLIQUE CUTS.

An experiment was begun in January, 1914, on two groups of ten trees each. One group was tapped on one-third circumference by a single V, beginning at 3 feet; the other was tapped at the same height, on one-third circumference, by a simple oblique cut to the left. Trees were not available for completing the experiment by including a group tapped similarly to the right. The tapping was begun at a height of 3 feet in order to allow prolonged tapping, the general objection to previous experiments on this point being that they had not been continued long enough. The trees were tapped on alternate days. The average girth of the trees tapped by a V was 28.5 inches, and of the other group 28.8 inches (January, 1914).

The following table summarizes the results of the three years' tapping:—

		0		V Tapping.				Sir	mple Cut.			
		No of	8.	Yield per Tree.		Percentag Scrap.	ge	Yield per Tree.		P	ercentage Scrap.	
1914		182		1170		11.7		1265			$12 \cdot 2$	
1915		179		1422		13.0		1454			$13 \cdot 3$	
1916		182		1564		10.5		1517			$11 \cdot 1$	

In the first year the advantage in favour of the simple cut was 8 per cent, and in the second year 2.2 per cent, in the third year there is a difference of 3.1 per cent, in favour of the V. As will be evident, the difference in the case of these trees is negligible. Reference to the table of monthly yields shows that in no year has there been a steady excess in favour

of one group. In 1914, the single out tapping yielded the more in nine months out of the twelve, though in two of these the yields of the two groups were practically equal; in 1915, the single cut tapping yielded the more in seven months of the year; while in 1916 it yielded the more in six months only. It is proposed to rest these trees for the last six months of 1917, and afterwards reverse the tapping on the next section.

### "CHANGE-OVER" TAPPING.

#### Quarters.

In January, 1914, tapping was begun on two groups of seventeen trees each, in row 78 C, to compare the yield obtained by tapping down continuously on one quarter with that obtained on a "change-over" system, i.e., one in which the tapping is periodically transferred to the other side of the tree. This row had been tapped previously, in 1910, by a half herring-bone on half the circumference on alternate days.

The trees were tapped on alternate days by a single oblique cut to the left at a height of 15 inches on one quarter. In one group the trees are tapped down continuously on one quarter; in the other tapping is transferred to the other side of the tree every three months. This period was selected more or less arbitrarily, with the idea of dividing the good and the bad halves of the year equally between the two sides. At the time of transfer both sides are tapped together for six tappings. In each group the average girth of the trees in December, 1913, was 30.2 inches.

The yields are given in detail in the following table. A thick transverse line denotes the completion of tapping on a section:—

	78 C.	
	Continuous.	Change Over.
1914.	Tappings. Yield.	Tapping Tappings Tappings
January	15 000	Days, Side Opposite Yield.
February	15 820	15 15 — 815
March	· 14 967	14 14 019
April	15 929	15 15 10 004
May	15 1135	15 979
June	16 . 1300	18 10 918
July	15 1214	15 17 10 1201
August	15 1520	10 11 1248
Sond-	16 . 1650	10 1415
September	15 1875	16 16 — 1425
October	15 1745	15 . 9 . 12 . 1772
Vovember		15 15 1624
December	2120	15 15 1924
	16 2097	16 3 16 1728

	Continu	A11.5		Ch	ange Over.	
	Совыши			Topping Tapping		
1915. Tapp	ings.	Yield.	Tapping Days.	First Side.	Opposite Yield Side.	ì.
January	14	2197	14	14	247	0
February	14	1837	14	14	173	
March	16	1242	16	16	3 178	2
April	Ī5	962	15	3	15 218	3
May	15	1233	15		15 135	8
June	15	1302	15	3	15 143	4
July	15	1270	15	15	3 162	
August	15	1270	15 .	. 15	229	
September	15	1218	15	15	<b>3</b> 165	
October	16	1557	16	. 3	16 198	
November	15	1403	15		15 153	
December	14	1994	14 .	. 3	14 336	8
1916.				10	402	ın
January	16	1644	16 .			
February	14	1019	<del>14</del> .	. 14	116	
March	16	1213	16.		3 134	
April	15	1321	15 .		15 100	
May	15	1397	15 .	_		
June	15	1180	15 .			
July	15	1141	15 .		300	
August	<u>15</u>	1361	15.			
September	T5	1431	15.			
October	16	1324	16.	. 3.		
November	15	1850	15.		. 15 168	
December	15	1734	15 .	. 3.	. 15 249	93
	1014		Co	ntinuous. 1023	Change Over. 901	
Yield per tree,	1914		• •	1000	1378	
Do.	1915		• •	978	1394	
Do.	1916		• •	710		
		Total		3030	3673	

During the first year the continuous tapping yielded more than the "change-over" tapping, but during the second year the positions were reversed, and there was on the total two years' yield a balance in favour of the "change-over" system. It was thought probable that this difference between the two years was due, at least in part, to the difference in the position of the tapping cuts. In the continuous tapping the cut approaches the base twice as rapidly (approximately) as in the "change-over" tapping.

During the third year the continuous tapping has shown a decrease, but the "change-over" tapping has maintained its yield. It may be noted that, of the difference in the total yields per tree (643 grams), 221 grams is due to the abnormally high yield of the "change-over" trees during December, 1915, and January, 1916, when both the cuts on those trees were at the base of the tree.

The total yield per tree from the first two quarters on the trees tapped continuously was 2,657 grams; from the same area on the "change-over" trees it was 2,515 grams. Thus, the yield per unit area of bark was less in the case of the "change-over" trees, while the yield in a given time is greater. This is due to a defect in the experiment. The first two sections of the trees tapped continuously were completely tapped in two years eight months, but on the "change-over" trees they were tapped out in two years one month. The extra tappings at the time of change, 24 per year, account for 1½ inch of bark per annum, and to this is added the extra thickness taken off in re-opening the cut.

The system adopted, of tapping both sides together when changing over, is one which was in vogue when this experiment was begun. It will be evident that the double tapping is wasteful of bark, and it does not produce an equivalent quantity of rabber. And from other experiments in progress, in which the trees have been tapped in alternate months, it would seem to be unnecessary. Though further experiments are required to decide the point, it would appear that the "wound response" is not such a considerable factor, after the trees have been regularly tapped, as it is when tapping is first instituted on virgin trees.

The frequent change, every three months, accentuates the wastage of bark. This period is probably unnecessarily short.

#### Half Circumference.

Another "change-over" experiment was instituted at the beginning of 1915 on row 82 C, which had previously been completely tapped by a basal V on half the circumference at 18 inches, from June, 1911, to December, 1914, on the two sides in succession. Thirty-eight trees were divided into two groups of 19 trees each, the trees of the two groups alternating in the row.

The trees were tapped on alternate days by a V on half the circumference 18 inches above the previous tapping, i.e., at 3 feet from the ground, on the side originally tapped first in 1911. In the one group the trees are tapped continuously on one side; in the other tapping is changed to the opposite side every three months. On the "change-over" trees both sides have been tapped together for six tappings at the time of

change. The average girth in January, 1915, was 31.4 inches in the first group and 31.5 inches in the second.

The following table gives the monthly yields:-

			82 (	).		
		Continue	DUS.		Char	ige Over.
1915.	:l'a	ppings.	Yield.	Tapping Days.	Tappings T First Side.	Second Yield,
January		13	1470 .	. 13	13	1545
February		14	1517 .	. 14	14	1469
March		15	1487 .	. 15	15	3 1335
April		15	2399 .	. 15	3	15 2367
May		15	2249 .	. 15		15 1976
June		14	2242	. 14	3	14 2169
July		15	2534	. 15	15	3 2143
August		14	2631	. 14	14	1972
September		15	2632	15	15	3 2168
October		15	3069	15	3	15 2689
November		15	2158	15	,	15 2285
December		16	3511	16	3	16 3488
1916.						
January		14	2686	14	14	$3 \dots 2492$
February	• •	15	2377		15	1990
March		15	1744		15	3 1791
April		15	2980		3	15 2277
May		16	2459	16		16 2259
June	• •	15	2118	15	3	15 2382
July		15	2131	15	15	$3 \dots 2276$
August '	*	16	2175	16	16	2803
September		15	2005	15	15	3 2308
October		15	2268	15	3	15 2874
November		15	2612	15		15 3205
December		14	2742	14	<b></b>	$14 \dots 2700$
					nuous, (	Change Over,
Yield	per tree,	1915			68	1348
	Do. ·	1916		14	89	1545
			Total	29	157	2893

The results of this experiment have agreed with those of the experiment on quarters, in that there was a slight advantage in favour of continuous tapping during the first year and in the opposite direction in the second. The differences, however, are too small to be of any significance.

From 1917 the double tapping at the time of change has been abandoned in the case of the tapping on halves.

It would appear from these experiments that no increase in yield is to be expected from the system of changing over. But, from Mr. L. E. Campbell's investigations, the bark renewal appears to be better after "change-over" tapping than after continuous tapping on the same side.

June, 1917.

T. PETCH.